

24th August 1925]

The amounts in deposit in Central Banks to the credit of societies the registration of which is still pending are—

Name of Central Bank.	Amount.		
	RS.	A.	P.
Christian Central Bank ... ..	1,090	0	0
Chittoor District Bank ... ..	78	8	0
Vellore Central Bank ... ..	161	0	0

(b) No.

Mr. T. ADINARAYANA CHETTIYAR :—“ With reference to clause (b), the answer is ‘ No ’, so that the reason is not what is suggested in the interpellation. Will the hon. the Minister be pleased to state what the reason is ? ”

The hon. Diwan Bahadur T. N. SIVAGNANAM PILLAI :—“ There are various reasons.”

Mr. T. ADINARAYANA CHETTIYAR :—“ Some of them at least may be given.”

The hon. Diwan Bahadur T. N. SIVAGNANAM PILLAI :—“ I shall make further enquiries into the matter.”

Mr. T. ADINARAYANA CHETTIYAR :—“ Is the hon. the Minister aware that the societies referred to in this interpellation are Raffeisen societies in which little or no capital is expected to be brought by the members into the society ? ”

The hon. Diwan Bahadur T. N. SIVAGNANAM PILLAI :—“ I shall make inquiries. I have not got the information here.”

Mr. T. ADINARAYANA CHETTIYAR :—“ What is the meaning of poor people who come and join the society not for any spiritual benefit but with the object of getting loans, being compelled to bring in the entire share capital which has to be paid by them ? ”

The hon. Diwan Bahadur T. N. SIVAGNANAM PILLAI :—“ It all depends on the personnel of the society in all these matters.”

Mr. T. ADINARAYANA CHETTIYAR :—“ I find that the worst offender in this respect is my district, North Arcot. All the societies referred to in this interpellation are primary societies of unlimited liability and they are Raffeisen societies consisting of poor people whose only capital practically should be their character.”

### Industries.

#### *The Model Industrial school in the Northern Circars.*

\* 353 Q.—Mr. A. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR : With reference to the G.O. No. 2048, Development, dated 28th November 1924, will the hon. the Minister for Development be pleased—

(i) to make a statement on the working of the Model Industrial school, if any, in the Northern Circars ; and

(ii) to state—

(a) when such a school will be established in the Ceded districts as suggested by the Technical and Industrial Committee ;



[24th August 1925]

(b) whether suitable curricula have been drawn up and approved by the Government for the Government industrial institutions;

(c) whether a preparatory Trades School has been established in Madras to enable pupils who have completed their primary or middle school course to undergo a two or three years' course in general education heavily biassed towards industry;

(d) the final orders passed on the proposal for the appointment of an Assistant Inspectress of Industrial Schools with a view to the development of the lace and embroidery industry; and

(e) the arrangement made for short refresher courses for the benefit of the present untrained teachers to improve their efficiency as teachers until fully trained teachers become available?

A.—(i) No school has yet been started.

(ii) (a) It is not proposed to start a school in the Ceded districts until some experience has been gained of the working of an industrial school in the Northern Circars.

(b) & (c) Proposals have been received from the Director of Industries and are under the consideration of Government.

(d) Final orders have not yet been issued.

(e) The Government have recently approved a Code of Regulations for Industrial Schools which makes provision, among other things for payment of grants to private institutions which undertake to provide short special courses of training. If possible, funds will be provided in the next budget.

Mr. A. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR:—“With regard to clause 2 (a), does the hon. the Minister think that a school in the Ceded districts need not necessarily thrive because such a school is existing in the Northern Circars?”

The hon. Diwan Bahadur T. N. SIVAGNANAM PILLAI:—“Everybody seems to be of the opinion that a school in the Northern Circars would be more favourably situated than one in the Ceded districts, for this experiment, and I wish to see how the experiment in the Northern Circars would work before we undertake any venture in the Ceded districts.”

Sriman SASIBHUSHAN RATH Mahasayo:—“Was not a provision made in the last budget for a school in the Northern Circars?”

The hon. Diwan Bahadur T. N. SIVAGNANAM PILLAI:—“No provision was made.”

Mr. P. ANJANEYULU:—“Is the location of this school fixed in the Northern Circars? Where is it to be opened?”

The hon. Diwan Bahadur T. N. SIVAGNANAM PILLAI:—“This has not been settled.”

Mr. P. ANJANEYULU:—“May I know what sort of training will be given to the pupils? It is said that it will give instruction in modern industries. I want to know in what sort of industry instruction will be given in this school.”

The hon. Diwan Bahadur T. N. SIVAGNANAM PILLAI:—“This will be something like the Madura Technical Institute on a small scale.”



24th August 1925]

Eruman Sasibhushan Rath Mahasayo.—“In answer to clause (b) it is stated that proposals have been received from the Director of Industries and are under the consideration of the Government. Have these proposals been placed before the advisory board of Industries?”

The hon. Diwan Bahadur T. N. Sivagnanam Pillai:—“They have not yet been scrutinized by Government and when they are scrutinized they will be placed before the Advisory Committee.”

Mr. A. Ranganatha Mudaliyar:—“Will the hon. the Minister be pleased to state whether the school in the Northern Circars will be more paying or whether it will be better attended?”

The hon. Diwan Bahadur T. N. Sivagnanam Pillai:—“I think that it may be more patronised than any school in the Ceded districts.”

Mr. A. Ranganatha Mudaliyar:—“Does he suggest that the need for a school in the Ceded districts is less, or that the people there, may be slow to take advantage of it? If it is the latter, it is the duty of the Government to educate the people so as to recognise the need for it.”

The hon. Diwan Bahadur T. N. Sivagnanam Pillai:—“That is our idea, and we are also trying to educate the people in the manner suggested.”

### UNSTARRED QUESTIONS.

#### Irrigation.

*Water-rate in Madura on the basis of resettlement registers.*

354 Q.—Mr. Abbas Ali: Will the hon. the Law Member and the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether it has been decided in Madura Town District Munsif's Court that the adoption of the resettlement registers for water-rate is contrary to Irrigation Cess Act;

(b) whether water-rate is charged for water taken through inamdars faisal water courses and inamdar's tanks comprised within the limits of his village;

(c) whether water-rate is charged on involuntary supply also in such cases;

(d) whether in cases of such involuntary supply, the ryots' application made to avoid water-rate is refused and penal water-rate charged at 20 times as in case of irregular and unauthorized supply; and

(e) whether it is a fact that all orders for permanent supply were cancelled by the Collector of Madura without any individual notice to the ryots?

A.—(a) The Government have no information of any such decision.

(b) & (c) If the hon. Member refers to the inam villages irrigated by the Periyar project the answer is in the affirmative.

(d) No such cases have been brought to the notice of Government.

(e) The hon. Member is referred to the answer to question No. 331 asked at the present meeting.